# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

<b>Bill Number:</b> 5761 SB 5761 AN HUGH (	/H TED	Industrial/manu. facilities	Agency:	055-Admin Office of the Courts
--	--------	-----------------------------	---------	-----------------------------------

## **Part I: Estimates**

**No Fiscal Impact** 

#### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties					
Cities					
Total \$					

#### **Estimated Expenditures from:**

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.* 

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Kirsten Lee	Phone: 360-786-7133	Date: 03/25/2015
Agency Preparation: Kitty Hjelm	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 03/27/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 03/27/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 5761 SB AM-1

Х

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would add a new chapter to RCW 84 to provide property tax exemption for the value of new construction of industrial/manufacturing facilities in targeted urban areas.

Section 11(6) would allow an owner to appeal a denied certificate of tax exemption in superior court.

Section 13(4) would allow an owner to appeal a terminated certificate of tax exemption in superior court.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

#### II. C - Expenditures

There is no judicial data available to determine how many appeals might be filed with the superior court. For illustrative purposes, there would need to be more than 44 appeals per year to exceed \$50,000 in annual superior court expenditures. This is based on average court costs for these types of appeals.

### **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact